UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,695	03/08/2006 Bjorn Frendeus		12578/46501	7946
26646 KENYON & K	7590 06/26/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADWAY			STEELE, AMBER D	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/526,695	FRENDEUS, BJORN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Amber D. Steele	1639				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. viely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	<u> </u>					
·—						
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
•						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-59</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		, ,				
,—		, total or 1011111   10 10 <b>2</b> 1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	. 4.L				

Art Unit: 1639

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of the Claims

1. Claims 1-30 were originally filed on March 3, 2005.

The preliminary amendment to the claims received on March 3, 2005 canceled claims 1-30 and added new claims 31-59.

Claims 31-59 are currently pending and under consideration.

## Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 31, 34-38, and 40-54, drawn to a method of isolating at least one anti-ligand via utilizing a library (i.e. wet bench method) and the equation of claim 38.

Group II, claim(s) 31, 34-37 and 39-54, drawn to a method of isolating at least one anti-ligand via utilizing a library (i.e. wet bench method) and the equation of claim 39.

Group III, claim(s) 32-38 and 40-54, drawn to a method of isolating at least one anti-ligand via utilizing data set(s) (i.e. in silico; bioinformatics method) and the equation of claim 38.

Group IV, claim(s) 32-37 and 39-54, drawn to a method of isolating at least one anti-ligand via utilizing data set(s) (i.e. in silico; bioinformatics method) and the equation of claim 39.

Group V, claim(s) 55, drawn to a method for preparing a pharmaceutical composition.

Group VI, claim(s) 56, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition.

Group VII, claim(s) 57, drawn to a method for preventing, treating, imaging, or diagnosing a disease.

Group VIII, claim(s) 58-59, drawn to a computer readable medium comprising program code.

Art Unit: 1639

3. The inventions listed as Groups I-VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature is an anti-ligand (Groups I-VII) and a computer program (Groups III-IV and VIII) which are know in the prior art.

Specifically, Venton et al. (U.S. Patent 5,366,862 issued November 22, 1994) teach an anti-ligand which can be utilized as a pharmaceutical composition, methods of screening, methods of preparing, etc. (please refer to the entire specification particularly the Detailed Description section).

Furthermore, Weitz et al. (U.S. Patent 5,688,507 issued November 18, 1997) teach a computer program utilized to model anti-ligand binding, etc. (please refer to the entire specification particularly the Detailed Description section).

Therefore, the common technical features of an anti-ligand and a computer program are known in the prior art and are not considered special.

4. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- A. A species of separation/isolation means (see claims 40-49). Applicants must also elect a species of density (see claims 43-44 and 47).
- 5. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1639

6. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 7. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: For Groups I-IV, claims 40-49.
- 8. The following claim(s) are generic: For Groups I and II, claim 31 is generic and for Groups III-IV, claim 32 is generic.
- 9. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the various separation and isolation means require different reagents and different structures.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 11. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1639

Future Communications

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Amber D. Steele whose telephone number is 571-272-5538. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James (Doug) Schultz can be reached on 571-272-0763. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Amber D. Steele/

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1639

June 23, 2008